

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1- 10 and 12 -33 are in the application. Claims 12, 14 and 17 are cancelled. Claim 12 was redundant, and the limitations of Claim 14 and 17 have now been incorporated into Claim 1 and Claim 33.

### **REJECTION UNDER 35 USC §102**

Claims 1-10 and 12-33 remain rejected as anticipated over Xu. The Examiner has acknowledged the arguments presented by Applicant in Applicant's letter of January 7 2004 in which Applicant provides arguments which distinguish the instant invention over Xu. However, the Examiner states that the limitations put forth in Applicant's arguments are not fully reflected in the instant claims. Applicant has now complied with Examiner's requirement that the limitations put forth in Applicant's arguments be fully represented in the claims. Claim 1 and Claim 33 have now been amended to incorporate the limitations put forth by the Examiner.

In light of the new amendments of the claims which are responsive to Examiner's requirements, and according to the arguments previously presented, Applicant believes the claims are now in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner to enter the amended claims and advance them to allowance.

Should anything further be needed to advance prosecution of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact applicants attorney at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

 10-7-04  
Barbara C. Siegel

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

REGISTRATION NO. 30,684

TELEPHONE: 302-992-4931

FAX: (302) 992-5374